PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
GY 0045 WO						
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/SE 2002/001888	16-10-2002		21-10-2001			
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification an	id IPC				
B67D 3/00			·			
Applicant			1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7			
Gyros AB et al.						
This report is the international p Authority under Article 35 and			s International Preliminary Examining 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a tota	of 7 sheets	, including this cover	sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied	by ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applica	nt and to the International B	ureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
			been amended and are the basis of this report			
	and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
			ty considers contain an amendment that goes			
beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.						
b. (sent to the Internal	ional Puragu arby a total of	f (indicate time and n	umber of electronic corrier(c))			
o (sent to the Internat		·	umber of electronic carrier(s)) and/or tables related thereto, in computer			
	as indicated in the Supplem		Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the			
Administrative Inst	ructions).					
4. This report contains indications		ns:	•			
Box No. I Basis	of the report					
Box No. II Priori	ty					
Box No. III Non-e	stablishment of opinion with	h regard to novelty, is	nventive step and industrial applicability			
Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention					
	ned statement under Article ability; citations and explan		novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	n documents cited	ations supporting suc				
Box No. VII Certai	n defects in the internationa	l application				
Box No. VIII Certai	n observations on the intern	ational application				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	of this report			
09-04-2003		23-01-2004				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/s		Authorized officer				
Patent- och registreringsverke Box 5055						
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		Anna Lundq	vist /LR			
Description No. 146 O. CCD DO OO	1	Circles Land Nie . A C	0 707 76 AA			

International application No.

Box	No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	otherw	regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language vise indicated under this item.	ge in which it was filed, unless
		This report is based on a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	,
		international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)	
		international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	furnish	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replace the to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in the renot annexed to this report):	ement sheets which have been this report as "originally filed"
	M	the international application as originally filed/furnished	
		the description:	
		pages	_
		pages* received by this Authority on pages* received by this Authority on	
	Ш	the claims:	as originally filed/furnished
		pages* as amended (together with a	ny statement) under Article 19
		pages* as afficied (together with a received by this Authority on	
		pages* received by this Authority on	
		the drawings:	
		pages	as originally filed/furnished
		pages* received by this Authority on	
		pages* received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence	E Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated 70.2(c)).	and listed below had not been in the Supplemental Box (Rule
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
	75.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
•	If item	n 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

International application No.

Box No. II Priority		
1. This report has been established as if limit the requested:	no priority had been claimed due t	to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time
copy of the earlier application	whose priority has been claimed (R	tule 66.7(a)).
translation of the earlier applica	ation whose priority has been claim	ned (Rule 66.7(b)).
2. This report has been established as if invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purp relevant date.	no priority had been claimed due tooses of this report, the internations	to the fact that the priority claim has been found al filing date indicated above is considered to be the
3. Additional observations, if necessary:		
Priority is considered A1 is of no relevance.	valid, therefore	document US 2001042712
	24	

International application No.

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:
restricted the claims.
paid additional fees.
paid additional fees under protest.
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:
complied with.
not complied with for the following reasons:
The separate inventions are:
Invention 1: Claims 1, 2, 5 and 10-26 relate to a method and arrangement for dispensing droplets of a liquid on a surface of a rotating disc. The disc includes a triggering mark.
Invention 2: Claims 3, 4 and 6-9 relate to a dispensed liquid comprising a gradient with respect of at least one of its constituents.
The special technical feature of invention 1 is to making it easier to determine when to drop the next droplet of liquid on the right spot of the disc.
The special technical feature of invention 2 is to provide a liquid with a gradient.
These inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). There is no technical relationship among the inventions involving a corresponding special technical feature (PCT Rule 13.2).
4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos.
La die pares relating to examine 1105.

International application No.

PCT/SE 2002/001888

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

YES Claims Novelty (N) 1-26 NO Claims YES Inventive step (IS) Claims NO Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 10-26 Claims Industrial applicability (IA) NO Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP 0601714 A1 D2: WO 0079285 A3 D3: WO 6063589 A

D1 describes a method and a device for determining location and the number of a fluorescent molecule. Liquid is dropped on well defined areas on a rotating disc, which is rotated with constant velocity. (See page 13, line 17-20, page 13, line 38-41, figures 25 and 26).

D2 describes a device and a method for the performance of miniaturized homogeneous assays, using a rotating disc, which is a micro fluidic disc.

D3 describes devices and methods for using centripetal acceleration to drive fluid movement on a microfluidic system. In the embodiment there exists a gradient in the fluid. (See column 7, line 69 - column 8, line 5.)

The technique described in claims 1, 2 and 19 differ from what is mentioned in D1 in that a trigger arrangement is used. To use such an arrangement is considered obvious for a person skilled in the art. What is claimed in claim 1, 2 and 19 is not considered to involve an inventive step.

The techniques mentioned in claims 3, 5, 6, 10-18 and 20-26, such as to determine the exact time for the triggering mark to pass the triggering position, to have several target areas for the droplets, to use the flow-through dispenser, to have a gradient in the fluid or to have several dispensers is considered to be details known to a person skilled in the art or is possible to find in document D2 or D3. Thus what is claimed in claims 3, 5, 6, 10-18 and 20-26 is not considered to involve an inventive step.

International application No.

Box No. VI	Certain documents ci	tea		
1. Certain	published documents (Rul	e 70.10)		
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
US 00	10042714 A1	22/11/2001	23/05/2001	
				•
				•
				-
				•
2. Non-wr	ritten disclosures (Rule 70.			Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure
	Kind of non-written disc		-written disclosure (month/year)	(day/month/year)
	<u>.</u>			
	*			
-				
-				
				•

International application No.

PCT/SE 2002/001888

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1: It is not clear in what way the method is intended to be executed. There are a lot of steps with a numbering of i), (A), (B), a), which are difficult to follow. The structure of the claim should be clearer.

It is not clear what is meant by "providing (A) said disc".

It looks as if the symbol TA1 is used both for "target area" and "inlet port", which is a bit confusing.

Claim 8 and 9: These are not formulated in a clear way. -

Claim 15 and 19: It is not clear what is meant by I in "microchannel structures I, II, III"

Claim 17: This claim can not refer to claim 17 and 18.